

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,044	04/29/2005	Richard R Hammen	310077.90348	310077.90348 6141	
26710	7590 01/23/2006		EXAMINER		
QUARLES & BRADY LLP			LEUNG, PHILIP H		
411 E. WISC	ONSIN AVENUE				
SUITE 2040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MILWAUKE	EE, WI 53202-4497		3742		

DATE MAILED: 01/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Assistant Communication	10/511,044	HAMMEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Philip H. Leung	3742	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 and 9-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 5-8,15 and 16 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. or election requirement.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 12 October 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-12-2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		2)

Application/Control Number: 10/511,044

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The drawings filed 10-12-2004 are acceptable.
- 2. Claim 13 is objected to as the term "the air guide" has no antecedent basis. It appears that claim 13 should be dependent on "claim 12" instead of "claim 11". Correction is required.
- 3. Claims 1-4 and 9-14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of U. S. Patent No. 6,649,022 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims are directed to "a ventilated sealing head for an inductive cap sealer, comprising: a housing having openings; an induction coil producing an electromagnetic field when energized disposed in the housing so as to be cooled by the air; and a field focusing disposed in the housing to direct the electromagnetic field of the coil toward a sealing region" and a fan for passing air through the openings (claim 9 of the patent). The location of the openings in the housing would have been an obvious so that the air also cools the sealing cap after it first passes and cools the induction heating coil.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,044

Art Unit: 3742

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 5. Claims 5-6, 15 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Terano (US 6,713,735) is further cited to show induction cap sealer with similar claimed features.

Art Unit: 3742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip H Leung whose telephone number is (571) 272-4782.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on (571) 272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip H Leung

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742

P.Leung/pl 1-17-2006